

Several new classes of Boolean functions with few Walsh transform values

Guangkui Xu, Xiwang Cao, and Shangding Xu

Abstract—In this paper, several new classes of Boolean functions with few Walsh transform values, including bent, semi-bent and five-valued functions, are obtained by adding the product of two or three linear functions to some known bent functions. Numerical results show that the proposed class contains cubic bent functions that are affinely inequivalent to all known quadratic ones. Meanwhile, we determine the distribution of the Walsh spectrum of five-valued functions constructed in this paper.

Index Terms—Boolean function; Bent function; Semi-bent function; five-valued function; Walsh transform

I. INTRODUCTION

FOR a positive integer n and a prime p , let \mathbb{F}_{p^n} be the finite field with p^n elements, $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^* = \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \setminus \{0\}$. A Boolean function is a mapping from \mathbb{F}_{2^n} to \mathbb{F}_2 . The Walsh transform is a powerful tool to investigate cryptographic properties of Boolean functions which have wide applications in cryptography and coding theory. An interesting problem is to find Boolean functions with few Walsh transform values and determine their distributions. Bent functions, introduced by [1] Rothaus, are Boolean functions with two Walsh transform values and achieve the maximum Hamming distance to all affine Boolean functions. Such functions have been extensively studied because of their important applications in coding theory [2], [3], cryptography [4], sequence designs [5] and graph theory [6], [7]. Notice that bent functions exist only for an even number of variables and can not be balanced. In 1985, Kumar, Scholtz and Welch extended Rothaus' definition to the case of an arbitrary prime p [8]. Complete classification of bent functions seems elusive even in the binary case. However, a number of recent interesting results on bent functions have been found through primary constructions and secondary constructions (see [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17], [18], [19], [20], [21], [22], and references therein).

As a particular case of the so-called plateaued Boolean functions [23], semi-bent functions are an important kind of Boolean functions with three Walsh transform values. The term of semi-bent function introduced by Chee et al. [24].

Semi-bent functions investigated under the name of three-valued almost optimal Boolean functions in [2], i.e., they have the highest possible nonlinearity in three-valued functions. They are also nice combinatorial objects and have wide applications in cryptography and coding theory. A lot of research has been devoted to finding new families of semi-bent functions (see [25], [12], [26], [16], [27], [28], [29] and the references therein). However, there is only a few known constructions of semi-bent functions. In general, it is difficult to characterize all functions with few Walsh transform values.

For any positive integers n , and k dividing n , the trace function from \mathbb{F}_{p^n} to \mathbb{F}_{p^k} , denoted by Tr_k^n , is the mapping defined as:

$$\text{Tr}_k^n(x) = x + x^{p^k} + x^{p^{2k}} + \cdots + x^{p^{n-k}}.$$

For $k = 1$, $\text{Tr}_1^n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x^{p^i}$ is called the absolute trace function. Recently, using a theorem proved by Carlet [30, Theorem 3], Mesnager [31] provided some primary and secondary constructions of bent functions and gave corresponding dual functions of these constructions. By means of the second order derivative of the duals of known bent functions, she obtained two new infinite families of bent functions with the forms

$$f(x) = \text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda x^{2^m+1}) + \text{Tr}_1^n(ux) \text{Tr}_1^n(vx) \quad (1)$$

and

$$f(x) = \text{Tr}_1^m(x^{2^m+1}) + \text{Tr}_1^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2^{r-1}-1} x^{(2^m-1)\frac{i}{2^r}+1} \right) + \text{Tr}_1^n(ux) \text{Tr}_1^n(vx) \quad (2)$$

over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} , where $n = 2m$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$. She showed that the function defined by (1) is bent when $\text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}u^{2^m}v) = 0$ and the function defined by (2) is bent when $u, v \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$.

The aim of this paper is to present several classes of functions with few Walsh transform values. Inspired by the work of [31], we present several new classes of bent functions by adding the product of three or two linear functions to some known bent functions. Computer experiments show that we can obtain some cubic bent functions. Meanwhile, several new classes of semi-bent and five-valued functions are also obtained. The proofs of our main results are based on the study of the Walsh transform, which are different from the ones used in [31].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we give some notation and recall the necessary background. In Section III, we present some new Boolean functions with few Walsh

G. Xu is with the Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing 210016, China, and also with the Department of Mathematics, Huainan Normal University, Huainan 232038, China (e-mail: xuguangkuiy@163.com).

X. Cao is with the Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing 210016, China, and also with the State Key Laboratory of Information Security, Institute of Information Engineering, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100093, China (e-mail: xwcao@nuaa.edu.cn).

S. Xu is with the Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing 210016, China, and also with Department of Mathematics and Physics, Nanjing Institute of Technology, Nanjing 210016, China (e-mail: sdxzx11@163.com).

transform values from Kasami function and Gold function. A new family of bent functions via Niho exponents is presented in Section IV and two new families of functions with few Walsh transform values via Maiorana-McFarlands class are provided in Section V.

II. PRELIMINARIES

By viewing each $x = x_1\xi_1 + x_2\xi_2 + \dots + x_n\xi_n \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ as a vector $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ where $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\}$ is a basis of \mathbb{F}_{2^n} over \mathbb{F}_2 , we identify \mathbb{F}_2^n (the n -dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F}_2) with \mathbb{F}_{2^n} , and then every function $f : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ is equivalent to a Boolean function. For $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, the inner product is defined as $x \cdot y = \text{Tr}_1^n(xy)$. It is well known that every nonzero Boolean function defined on \mathbb{F}_{2^n} can be written in the form of $f(x) = \sum_{j \in \Gamma_n} \text{Tr}_1^{o(j)}(a_j x^j) + \epsilon(1 + x^{2^n-1})$, where Γ_n is a set of integers obtained by choosing one element in each cyclotomic coset of 2 modulo $2^n - 1$, $o(j)$ is the size of the cyclotomic coset containing j , $a_j \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{o(j)}}$ and $\epsilon = wt(f) \pmod{2}$, where $wt(f)$ is the cardinality of its support $\text{supp} := \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \mid f(x) = 1\}$. The algebraic degree of f is equal to the maximum 2-weight of an exponent j for which $a_j \neq 0$ if $\epsilon = 0$ and to n if $\epsilon = 1$.

The Walsh transform of a Boolean function $f : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ is the function $\hat{\chi}_f : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$\hat{\chi}_f(a) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{f(x) + \text{Tr}_1^n(ax)}, a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}.$$

The values $\hat{\chi}_f(a), a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ are called the Walsh coefficients of f . The Walsh spectrum of a Boolean function f is the multiset $\{\hat{\chi}_f(a), a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}\}$. A Boolean function f is said to be balanced if $\hat{\chi}_f(0) = 0$.

Definition 1: [1] A Boolean function f is said to be bent if $|\hat{\chi}_f(a)| = 2^{n/2}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$.

In view of Parseval's equation this definition implies that bent functions exist only for an even number of variables. For a bent function with n variables, its dual is the Boolean function \tilde{f} defined by $\hat{\chi}_{\tilde{f}}(a) = 2^{n/2}(-1)^{\tilde{f}(a)}$. It is easy to verify that the dual of f is again bent. Thus, Boolean bent functions occur in pair. However, determining the dual of a given bent function is not an easy thing. A bent function is said to be self-dual (resp. anti-self-dual) if $\tilde{f} = f$ (resp. $\tilde{f} = f + 1$). For more study on self-dual and anti-self-dual bent functions can be founded in [30], [32], [31], [33].

Definition 2: [24] A Boolean function f is said to be semi-bent if

$$\hat{\chi}_f(a) \in \begin{cases} \{0, \pm 2^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ \{0, \pm 2^{\frac{n}{2}+1}\}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$.

Our constructions can be derived from some known bent functions. The following result will be used in the sequel.

Lemma 1: Let n be a positive integer and $u, v, r \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$. Let $g(x)$ be a Boolean function over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} . Define the Boolean function $f(x)$ by

$$f(x) = g(x) + \text{Tr}_1^n(ux)\text{Tr}_1^n(vx)\text{Tr}_1^n(rx).$$

Then, for every $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\chi}_f(a) = & \frac{1}{4} [3\hat{\chi}_g(a) + \hat{\chi}_g(a+v) + \hat{\chi}_g(a+u) - \hat{\chi}_g(a+u+v) \\ & + \hat{\chi}_g(a+r) - \hat{\chi}_g(a+r+v) - \hat{\chi}_g(a+r+u) \\ & + \hat{\chi}_g(a+r+u+v)]. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $r = v$, then

$$\hat{\chi}_f(a) = \frac{1}{2} [\hat{\chi}_g(a) + \hat{\chi}_g(a+u) + \hat{\chi}_g(a+v) - \hat{\chi}_g(a+u+v)].$$

Proof: For $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$, define

$$T_{(i,j)} = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \mid \text{Tr}_1^n(ux) = i, \text{Tr}_1^n(vx) = j\}$$

and denote

$$S_{(i,j)}(a) = \sum_{x \in T_{(i,j)}} \omega^{g(x) + \text{Tr}_1^n(ax)}$$

and

$$Q_{(i,j)}(a+r) = \sum_{x \in T_{(i,j)}} \omega^{g(x) + \text{Tr}_1^n((a+r)x)}.$$

For each $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\chi}_f(a) &= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{f(x) + \text{Tr}_1^n(ax)} \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{g(x) + \text{Tr}_1^n(ux) + \text{Tr}_1^n(vx) + \text{Tr}_1^n(rx) + \text{Tr}_1^n(ax)} \\ &= \sum_{x \in T_{(0,0)}} (-1)^{g(x) + \text{Tr}_1^n(ax)} + \sum_{x \in T_{(0,1)}} (-1)^{g(x) + \text{Tr}_1^n(ax)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{x \in T_{(1,0)}} (-1)^{g(x) + \text{Tr}_1^n(ax)} + \sum_{x \in T_{(1,1)}} (-1)^{g(x) + \text{Tr}_1^n((a+r)x)} \\ &= S_{(0,0)}(a) + S_{(0,1)}(a) + S_{(1,0)}(a) + Q_{(1,1)}(a+r) \\ &= \hat{\chi}_g(a) - S_{(1,1)}(a) + Q_{(1,1)}(a+r). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

In the following, we will compute two sums $S_{(1,1)}(a)$ and $Q_{(1,1)}(a+r)$. Let $T_{(i,j)}$ be defined as above. Clearly,

$$\hat{\chi}_g(a) = S_{(0,0)}(a) + S_{(0,1)}(a) + S_{(1,0)}(a) + S_{(1,1)}(a). \quad (4)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\chi}_g(a+v) &= \sum_{x \in T_{(0,0)}} (-1)^{g(x) + \text{Tr}_1^n(ax)} - \sum_{x \in T_{(0,1)}} (-1)^{g(x) + \text{Tr}_1^n(ax)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{x \in T_{(1,0)}} (-1)^{g(x) + \text{Tr}_1^n(ax)} - \sum_{x \in T_{(1,1)}} (-1)^{g(x) + \text{Tr}_1^n(ax)} \\ &= S_{(0,0)}(a) - S_{(0,1)}(a) + S_{(1,0)}(a) - S_{(1,1)}(a). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\chi}_g(a+u) &= S_{(0,0)}(a) + S_{(0,1)}(a) - S_{(1,0)}(a) - S_{(1,1)}(a) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\chi}_g(a+u+v) &= S_{(0,0)}(a) - S_{(0,1)}(a) - S_{(1,0)}(a) + S_{(1,1)}(a). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

From (4)-(7), we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} S_{(0,0)}(a) \\ S_{(0,1)}(a) \\ S_{(1,0)}(a) \\ S_{(1,1)}(a) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\chi}_g(a) \\ \hat{\chi}_g(a+v) \\ \hat{\chi}_g(a+u) \\ \hat{\chi}_g(a+u+v) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

Note that the coefficient matrix of (8) is a Hadamard matrix of order 4. Then we have

$$S_{(1,1)}(a) = \frac{1}{4} [\hat{\chi}_g(a) - \hat{\chi}_g(a+v) - \hat{\chi}_g(a+u) + \hat{\chi}_g(a+u+v)]. \quad (9)$$

Substituting a by $a+r$ in (9), we can get

$$Q_{(1,1)}(a+r) = \frac{1}{4} [\hat{\chi}_g(a+r) - \hat{\chi}_g(a+r+v) - \hat{\chi}_g(a+r+u) + \hat{\chi}_g(a+r+u+v)]. \quad (10)$$

The desired conclusion follows from (3), (9) and (10).

In particular, if $r=v$, it is easy to show that

$$\hat{\chi}_f(a) = \frac{1}{2} [\hat{\chi}_g(a) + \hat{\chi}_g(a+u) + \hat{\chi}_g(a+v) - \hat{\chi}_g(a+v+u)].$$

The proof is completed. \square

It must be pointed out that $f(x) = g(x) + \text{Tr}_1^n(ux)\text{Tr}_1^n(vx)\text{Tr}_1^n(rx) = g(x) + \text{Tr}_1^n(ux)\text{Tr}_1^n(vx)\text{Tr}_1^n(ux+vx) = g(x)$ when $u+v+r=0$. In the following, we always assume that $u+v+r \neq 0$.

III. NEW INFINITE FAMILIES OF BENT, SEMI-BENT AND FIVE-VALUED FUNCTIONS FROM MONOMIAL BENT FUNCTIONS

A. New infinite families of bent, semi-bent and five-valued functions from Kasami function

Let $n = 2m$ (m is at least 2) be a positive even integer. The Kasami function $g(x) = \text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda x^{2^m+1})$ is bent where $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ and its dual \tilde{g} is given by $\tilde{g}(x) = \text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}x^{2^m+1})+1$ [31]. In other words, for each $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, the Walsh coefficient $\hat{\chi}_g(a)$ is

$$\hat{\chi}_g(a) = -2^m(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}a^{2^m+1})}. \quad (11)$$

In the following result, we will present some new bent and five-valued functions by making use of the Kasami function.

Theorem 1: Let $n = 2m$ be a positive even integer and let u, v, r be three distinct pairwise elements in $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$ such that $u+v+r \neq 0$. Define the Boolean function f on \mathbb{F}_{2^n} as

$$f(x) = \text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda x^{2^m+1}) + \text{Tr}_1^n(ux)\text{Tr}_1^n(vx)\text{Tr}_1^n(rx),$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$. If $\text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}u^{2^m}v) = \text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}r^{2^m}u) = \text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}r^{2^m}v) = 0$, then f is bent. Otherwise, f is five-valued and the Walsh spectrum of f is $\{0, \pm 2^m, \pm 2^{m+1}\}$. Moreover, if $(\text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}r^{2^m}v), \text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}r^{2^m}u), \text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}u^{2^m}v)) \in \{(0, 0, 1), (1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (1, 1, 1)\}$, when a runs through all

elements in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} , the distribution of the Walsh spectrum of five-valued function f is given by

$$\hat{\chi}_f(a) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{occurs } 2^n - 2^{n-1} - 2^{n-3} \text{ times} \\ 2^m, & \text{occurs } 2^{n-2} + 2^{m-1} \text{ times} \\ -2^m, & \text{occurs } 2^{n-2} - 2^{m-1} \text{ times} \\ 2^{m+1}, & \text{occurs } 2^{n-4} \text{ times} \\ -2^{m+1}, & \text{occurs } 2^{n-4} \text{ times.} \end{cases}$$

If $(\text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}r^{2^m}v), \text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}r^{2^m}u), \text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}u^{2^m}v)) \in \{(1, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1), (0, 1, 1)\}$, when a runs through all elements in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} , the distribution of the Walsh spectrum of five-valued function f is given by

$$\hat{\chi}_f(a) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{occurs } 2^n - 2^{n-1} - 2^{n-3} \text{ times} \\ 2^m, & \text{occurs } 2^{n-2} \text{ times} \\ -2^m, & \text{occurs } 2^{n-2} \text{ times} \\ 2^{m+1}, & \text{occurs } 2^{n-4} + 2^{m-2} \text{ times} \\ -2^{m+1}, & \text{occurs } 2^{n-4} - 2^{m-2} \text{ times.} \end{cases}$$

Proof: Let $g(x) = \text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda x^{2^m+1})$. For each $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, by Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\chi}_f(a) &= \frac{1}{4} [3\hat{\chi}_g(a) + \hat{\chi}_g(a+v) + \hat{\chi}_g(a+u) - \hat{\chi}_g(a+u+v) \\ &\quad + \hat{\chi}_g(a+r) - \hat{\chi}_g(a+r+v) - \hat{\chi}_g(a+r+u) \\ &\quad + \hat{\chi}_g(a+r+u+v)] \\ &= \Delta_1 + \Delta_2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Delta_1 = \frac{1}{4} [3\hat{\chi}_g(a) + \hat{\chi}_g(a+v) + \hat{\chi}_g(a+u) - \hat{\chi}_g(a+u+v)]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_2 &= \frac{1}{4} [\hat{\chi}_g(a+r) - \hat{\chi}_g(a+r+v) - \hat{\chi}_g(a+r+u) \\ &\quad + \hat{\chi}_g(a+r+u+v)]. \end{aligned}$$

Now we use (11) to compute two sums Δ_1 and Δ_2 respectively.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &= \frac{1}{4} (-2^m) [3(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}a^{2^m+1})} + (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(a+v)^{2^m+1})} \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(a+u)^{2^m+1})} - (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(a+u+v)^{2^m+1})}] \\ &= -\frac{1}{4} 2^m (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}a^{2^m+1})} [3 + (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(a^{2^m}v+av^{2^m})} \\ &\quad \times (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}v^{2^m+1})} + (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(a^{2^m}u+au^{2^m}+u^{2^m+1})} \\ &\quad - (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(a^{2^m}v+av^{2^m}+v^{2^m+1}+a^{2^m}u+au^{2^m}+u^{2^m+1})} \\ &\quad \times (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(u^{2^m}v+uv^{2^m})})]. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_2 &= \frac{1}{4} (-2^m) (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(a^{2^m+1}+a^{2^m}r+ar^{2^m}+r^{2^m+1})} \\ &\quad \times [1 - (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(a^{2^m}v+av^{2^m}+v^{2^m+1}+r^{2^m}v+rv^{2^m})} \\ &\quad - (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(a^{2^m}u+au^{2^m}+u^{2^m+1}+r^{2^m}u+ru^{2^m})} \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(a^{2^m}v+av^{2^m}+v^{2^m+1}+a^{2^m}u+au^{2^m}+u^{2^m+1})} \\ &\quad \times (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(r^{2^m}v+rv^{2^m}+r^{2^m}u+ru^{2^m}+u^{2^m}v+uv^{2^m})})]. \end{aligned}$$

To simplify Δ_1 and Δ_2 , we write $t_1 = \text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(r^{2^m}v + rv^{2^m})) = \text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}r^{2^m}v)$, $t_2 = \text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(r^{2^m}u + ru^{2^m})) = \text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}r^{2^m}u)$ and $t_3 = \text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(u^{2^m}v + uv^{2^m})) = \text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}u^{2^m}v)$ due to the transitivity property of the trace function (for every k dividing n , $\text{Tr}_1^n(x) = \text{Tr}_1^k(\text{Tr}_k^n(x))$). Meanwhile, denote $c_1 = \text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(a^{2^m}v + av^{2^m} + v^{2^m+1}))$, $c_2 = \text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(a^{2^m}u + au^{2^m} + u^{2^m+1}))$ and $c_3 = \text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(a^{2^m}r + ar^{2^m} + r^{2^m+1}))$. Then the sums Δ_1 and Δ_2 can be written as

$$\Delta_1 = \frac{1}{4}(-2^m)(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}a^{2^m+1})}[3 + (-1)^{c_1} + (-1)^{c_2} - (-1)^{c_1+c_2+t_3}] \quad (12)$$

and

$$\Delta_2 = \frac{1}{4}(-2^m)(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}a^{2^m+1})+c_3} \times [1 - (-1)^{c_1+t_1} - (-1)^{c_2+t_2} + (-1)^{c_1+c_2+t_1+t_2+t_3}]. \quad (13)$$

Firstly, we prove that f is bent when $t_1 = t_2 = t_3 = 0$. If $t_1 = t_2 = t_3 = 0$, then

$$\Delta_1 = \frac{1}{4}(-2^m)(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}a^{2^m+1})}[3 + (-1)^{c_1} + (-1)^{c_2} - (-1)^{c_1+c_2}]$$

and

$$\Delta_2 = \frac{1}{4}(-2^m)(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}a^{2^m+1})+c_3}[1 - (-1)^{c_1} - (-1)^{c_2} + (-1)^{c_1+c_2}].$$

When $c_3 = 0$, we can get

$$\hat{\chi}_f(a) = \Delta_1 + \Delta_2 = -2^m(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}a^{2^m+1})}.$$

When $c_3 = 1$, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\chi}_f(a) &= \Delta_1 + \Delta_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(-2^m)(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}a^{2^m+1})}[1 + (-1)^{c_1} + (-1)^{c_2} - (-1)^{c_1+c_2}] \\ &= \begin{cases} 2^m(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}a^{2^m+1})}, & \text{if } c_1 = c_2 = 1 \\ -2^m(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}a^{2^m+1})}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, f is bent if $\text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}u^{2^m}v) = \text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}r^{2^m}u) = \text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}r^{2^m}v) = 0$.

Secondly, we show that f is five-valued if at least one t_i ($i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$) is equal to 1. We only give the proof of the case of $t_1 = t_2 = 0$ and $t_3 = 1$ since the others can be proven in a similar manner. In this case, (12) and (13) become

$$\Delta_1 = \frac{1}{4}(-2^m)(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}a^{2^m+1})}[3 + (-1)^{c_1} + (-1)^{c_2} + (-1)^{c_1+c_2}]$$

and

$$\Delta_2 = \frac{1}{4}(-2^m)(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}a^{2^m+1})+c_3}[1 - (-1)^{c_1} - (-1)^{c_2} - (-1)^{c_1+c_2}].$$

When $c_3 = 0$, then we have

$$\hat{\chi}_f(a) = \Delta_1 + \Delta_2 = -2^m(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}a^{2^m+1})}. \quad (14)$$

When $c_3 = 1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\chi}_f(a) &= \Delta_1 + \Delta_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(-2^m)(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}a^{2^m+1})}[1 + (-1)^{c_1} + (-1)^{c_2} + (-1)^{c_1+c_2}] \\ &= \begin{cases} -2^{m+1}(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}a^{2^m+1})}, & \text{if } c_1 = c_2 = 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

It then follows from (14) and (15) that f is five-valued and its Walsh spectrum is $\{0, \pm 2^m, \pm 2^{m+1}\}$.

Finally, we present the value distribution of the Walsh transform of five-valued function f . We only give the value distribution of f in the case of $t_1 = t_2 = 0$ and $t_3 = 1$ since the value distribution of others can be determined in a similar manner.

Let N_{2^m} (resp., N_{-2^m}) denote the number of $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ such that $\hat{\chi}_f(a) = 2^m$ (resp., -2^m). For convenience of presentation, we denote $\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}a^{2^m+1})$ by c_0 . The equality (14) implies that if $c_3 = 0$ and $c_0 = \text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}a^{2^m+1}) = 1$, then $\hat{\chi}_f(a) = 2^m$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_{2^m} &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (1 - (-1)^{c_0})(1 + (-1)^{c_3}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (1 - (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}a^{2^m+1})}) \\ &\quad \times (1 + (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}a^{2^m}r) + \text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}r^{2^m+1})}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}[2^n + (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}r^{2^m+1})} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}a^{2^m}r)} \\ &\quad - \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}a^{2^m+1})} - \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(a+r)^{2^m+1})}] \\ &= \frac{1}{4}[2^n - 2(1 + (2^m + 1) \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}x)})] \\ &= 2^{n-2} + 2^{m-1} \end{aligned}$$

where the fourth identity holds since raising elements of $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$ to the power of $2^m + 1$ is a $2^m + 1$ -to-1 mapping on to $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$. Similarly, it follows from (14) that

$$\begin{aligned} N_{-2^m} &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (1 + (-1)^{c_0})(1 + (-1)^{c_3}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (1 + (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}a^{2^m+1})}) \\ &\quad \times (1 + (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}a^{2^m}r) + \text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}r^{2^m+1})}) \\ &= 2^{n-2} - 2^{m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $N_{2^{m+1}}$ (resp., $N_{-2^{m+1}}$) denote the number of $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ such that $\hat{\chi}_f(a) = 2^{m+1}$ (resp., -2^{m+1}). From (15), we know that if $c_3 = 1$, $c_1 = c_2 = 0$ and $c_0 = 1$, then $\hat{\chi}_f(a) = 2^{m+1}$.

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{2m+1} &= \frac{1}{16} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (1 - (-1)^{c_0})(1 - (-1)^{c_3})(1 + (-1)^{c_2}) \\
&\quad \times (1 + (-1)^{c_1}) \\
&= \frac{1}{16} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} [1 + (-1)^{c_1} + (-1)^{c_2} + (-1)^{c_1+c_2} \\
&\quad - (-1)^{c_3} - (-1)^{c_3+c_1} - (-1)^{c_3+c_2} - (-1)^{c_3+c_2+c_1} \\
&\quad - (-1)^{c_0} - (-1)^{c_0+c_1} - (-1)^{c_0+c_2} - (-1)^{c_0+c_2+c_1} \\
&\quad + (-1)^{c_0+c_3} + (-1)^{c_0+c_3+c_1} + (-1)^{c_0+c_3+c_2} \\
&\quad + (-1)^{c_0+c_3+c_1+c_2}].
\end{aligned}$$

On one hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{c_1} \\
&= (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}v^{2^m+1})} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}a^{2^m}v)} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{c_2} = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{c_3} = 0$.

Note that u, v, r are pairwise distinct and $u + v + r \neq 0$.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{c_1+c_2} \\
&= (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(u^{2^m+1}+v^{2^m+1}))} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}a^{2^m}(u+v))} \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{c_3+c_1} = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{c_3+c_2} = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{c_3+c_2+c_1} = 0$.

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{c_0+c_1} &= \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(a+v)^{2^m+1})} \\
&= 1 + (2^m + 1) \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}x)} \\
&= -2^m.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{c_0} = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{c_0+c_2} = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{c_0+c_3} = -2^m.$$

By the condition $t_1 = t_2 = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{c_0+c_3+c_1} &= \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{c_0+c_3+c_1+t_1} \\
&= \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(a+r+u)^{2^m+1})} \\
&= -2^m
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{c_0+c_3+c_2} &= \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{c_0+c_3+c_1+t_2} \\
&= \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(a+r+u)^{2^m+1})} \\
&= -2^m.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $t_3 = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{c_0+c_2+c_1} &= - \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{c_0+c_2+c_1+t_3} \\
&= - \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(a+u+v)^{2^m+1})} \\
&= 2^m
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{c_0+c_3+c_1+c_2} &= - \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{c_0+c_3+c_1+c_2+t_1+t_2+t_3} \\
&= - \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(a+r+u+v)^{2^m+1})} \\
&= 2^m.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{2m+1} &= \frac{1}{16} [2^n - (-2^m) - (-2^m) - (-2^m) + (-2^m) \\
&\quad + (-2^m) + (-2^m) + (-2^m) - (-2^m)] \\
&= \frac{1}{16} 2^n = 2^{n-4}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{-2m+1} &= \frac{1}{16} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} (1 + (-1)^{c_0})(1 - (-1)^{c_3})(1 + (-1)^{c_2}) \\
&\quad \times (1 + (-1)^{c_1}) \\
&= 2^{n-4}.
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the number of $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ such that $\widehat{\chi}_f(a) = 0$ is equal to $2^n - 2^{n-1} - 2^{n-3}$. This completes the proof. \square

It is easily checked that $\text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}u^{2^m}v) = \text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}r^{2^m}u) = \text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}r^{2^m}v) = 0$ when $u, v, r \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$. From Theorem 1, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 1: Let $n = 2m$ be a positive even integer and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$. If $u, v, r \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ are three pairwise distinct elements such that $u + v + r \neq 0$, then the Boolean function f

$$f(x) = \text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda x^{2^m+1}) + \text{Tr}_1^n(ux) \text{Tr}_1^n(vx) \text{Tr}_1^n(rx)$$

is bent.

Remark 1: If $r = v$, the bent functions f presented in Theorem 1 become ones in [31, Theorem 9], i.e., if $\text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}u^{2^m}v) = 0$, then the Boolean function $f(x) = \text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda x^{2^m+1}) + \text{Tr}_1^n(ux) \text{Tr}_1^n(vx)$ is bent.

Now let us consider the algebraic degree of f in Theorem 1. Let $i, j, k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ are pairwise distinct integers. Denote the set of all permutations on i, j, k by \mathcal{P} . It is clear that the possible cubic term in the expression of f has the form $(\sum_{(i,j,k) \in \mathcal{P}} u^{2^i} v^{2^j} r^{2^k}) x^{2^i+2^j+2^k}$. If there exist three pairwise distinct integers $i, j, k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ such that $(\sum_{(i,j,k) \in \mathcal{P}} u^{2^i} v^{2^j} r^{2^k}) \neq 0$, then the algebraic degree of f is 3.

Next we will show that if $\text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}u^{2^m}v) = \text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}r^{2^m}u) = \text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}r^{2^m}v) = 0$, the algebraic degree of f in Theorem 1 is not equal to 3 when $m = 2$. Otherwise, this will contradict the fact that the algebraic degree of a bent function f is at most $n/2$. Let \mathcal{P}_1 be the set of all permutations on $0, 1, 3$, \mathcal{P}_2 be the set of all all permutations

on $0, 1, 2$, \mathcal{P}_3 be the set of all all permutations on $1, 2, 3$ and \mathcal{P}_4 be the set of all all permutations on $0, 2, 3$. The condition $\text{Tr}_1^4(\lambda^{-1}r^{2^2}v) = \text{Tr}_1^4(\lambda^{-1}r^{2^2}u) = \text{Tr}_1^4(\lambda^{-1}u^{2^2}v) = 0$ can be written as

$$\begin{cases} r^{2^2}v + r^{2^3}v^2 + rv^{2^2} + r^2v^{2^3} = 0 \\ r^{2^2}u + r^{2^3}u^2 + ru^{2^2} + r^2u^{2^3} = 0 \\ u^{2^2}v + u^{2^3}v^2 + uv^{2^2} + u^2v^{2^3} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

Multiplying u, v and r to the first, the second and the third equation of (16) respectively yields

$$\begin{cases} r^{2^2}vu + r^{2^3}v^2u + rv^{2^2}u + r^2v^{2^3}u = 0 \\ r^{2^2}vu + r^{2^3}vu^2 + rvu^{2^2} + r^2vu^{2^3} = 0 \\ ru^{2^2}v + ru^{2^3}v^2 + ruv^{2^2} + ru^2v^{2^3} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

Adding three equations of (17) gives $\sum_{(i,j,k) \in \mathcal{P}_1} u^{2^i}v^{2^j}r^{2^k} = 0$. Similarly, multiplying u^2, v^2 and r^2 to the first, the second and the third equation of (16) respectively yields $\sum_{(i,j,k) \in \mathcal{P}_2} u^{2^i}v^{2^j}r^{2^k} = 0$. Multiplying u^{2^2}, v^{2^2} and r^{2^2} to the first, the second and the third equation of (16) respectively yields $\sum_{(i,j,k) \in \mathcal{P}_3} u^{2^i}v^{2^j}r^{2^k} = 0$ and multiplying u^{2^3}, v^{2^3} and r^{2^3} to the first, the second and the third equation of (16) respectively yields $\sum_{(i,j,k) \in \mathcal{P}_4} u^{2^i}v^{2^j}r^{2^k} = 0$. Therefore, there are no cubic terms in the expression of f when $m = 2$, which implies that the algebraic degree of f in Theorem 1 is equal to 2.

Remark 2: When $m = 2$, the algebraic degree of the bent function f in Theorem 1 is equal to 2. When $m \geq 3$, the bent functions f in Theorem 1 may be cubic according to our numerical results.

Example 1: Let $m = 3$, \mathbb{F}_{2^6} be generated by the primitive polynomial $x^6 + x^4 + x^3 + x + 1$ and ξ be a primitive element of \mathbb{F}_{2^6} . Take $\lambda = 1, u = \xi, v = \xi^9$ and $r = \xi^{27}$. Let \mathcal{P} be the set of all permutations on $0, 1, 2$. By help of a computer, we can get $\text{Tr}_1^6(u^8v) = \text{Tr}_1^6(r^8u) = \text{Tr}_1^6(r^8v) = 0, u + v + r \neq 0, \sum_{(i,j,k) \in \mathcal{P}} u^{2^i}v^{2^j}r^{2^k} = \xi^{45} \neq 0$ and the function $f(x) = \text{Tr}_1^3(x^9) + \text{Tr}_1^6(\xi x) + \text{Tr}_1^6(\xi^9 x) + \text{Tr}_1^6(\xi^{27} x)$ is a cubic bent function, which coincides with the results in Theorem 1.

Example 2: Let $m = 4$, \mathbb{F}_{2^8} be generated by the primitive polynomial $x^8 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + 1$ and ξ be a primitive element of \mathbb{F}_{2^8} . Take $\lambda = \xi^{17}, u = \xi^{10}, v = \xi^9, r = \xi^3$. Then the function f in Theorem 1 is $f(x) = \text{Tr}_1^4(\xi^{17}x^{17}) + \text{Tr}_1^8(\xi^{10}x) + \text{Tr}_1^8(\xi^9x) + \text{Tr}_1^8(\xi^3x)$. By help of a computer, we can get $\text{Tr}_1^8(u^{16}v) = 1, \text{Tr}_1^8(r^{16}u) = \text{Tr}_1^8(r^{16}v) = 0$. Moreover, the function is a five-valued and the distribution of the Walsh spectrum is

$$\hat{\chi}_f(a) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{occurs 96 times} \\ 16, & \text{occurs 72 times} \\ -16, & \text{occurs 56 times} \\ 32, & \text{occurs 16 times} \\ -32, & \text{occurs 16 times} \end{cases}$$

which is consistent with the results given in Theorem 1.

As noted in Remark 1, if $\text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}u^{2^m}v) = 0$, then the Boolean function $f(x) = \text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda x^{2^m+1}) + \text{Tr}_1^n(ux)\text{Tr}_1^n(vx)$ is bent. In the following result, we will prove that if $\text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}u^{2^m}v) = 1$, the Boolean function $f(x) =$

$\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda x^{2^m+1}) + \text{Tr}_1^n(ux)\text{Tr}_1^n(vx)$ is semi-bent by using Lemma 1.

Theorem 2: Let $n = 2m$ be a positive even integer and $u, v \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$. Define a Boolean function f on \mathbb{F}_{2^n} by

$$f(x) = \text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda x^{2^m+1}) + \text{Tr}_1^n(ux)\text{Tr}_1^n(vx),$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$. If $\text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}u^{2^m}v) = 1$, then f is semi-bent. Moreover, when $\text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}u^{2^m}v) = 1$, if $\text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}u^{2^m+1}) = 1$ or $\text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}v^{2^m+1}) = 1$, then f is a balanced semi-bent function.

Proof: Let $g(x) = \text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda x^{2^m+1})$. By Lemma 1 and (11), for each $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\chi}_f(a) &= \frac{1}{2}[\hat{\chi}_g(a) + \hat{\chi}_g(a+v) + \hat{\chi}_g(a+u) - \hat{\chi}_g(a+u+v)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\hat{\chi}_g(a)[1 + (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(a^{2^m}v+av^{2^m}))} \\ &\quad \times (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}v^{2^m+1})} + (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(a^{2^m}u+au^{2^m}+u^{2^m+1}))} \\ &\quad - (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(a^{2^m}v+av^{2^m}+v^{2^m+1}+a^{2^m}u+au^{2^m}+u^{2^m+1}))}] \\ &\quad \times (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(u^{2^m}v+uv^{2^m}))} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\hat{\chi}_g(a)[1 + (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(a^{2^m}v+av^{2^m}+v^{2^m+1}))} \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(a^{2^m}u+au^{2^m}+u^{2^m+1}))} \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(a^{2^m}v+av^{2^m}+v^{2^m+1}+a^{2^m}u+au^{2^m}+u^{2^m+1}))}] \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where the last identity holds because $\text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}u^{2^m}v) = \text{Tr}_1^m(u^{2^m}v+uv^{2^m}) = 1$.

Denote $c_1 = \text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(a^{2^m}v+av^{2^m}+v^{2^m+1}))$ and $c_2 = \text{Tr}_1^m((\lambda^{-1}(a^{2^m}u+au^{2^m}+u^{2^m+1}))$. Then (18) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\chi}_f(a) &= \frac{1}{2}(-2^m)(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}a^{2^m+1})}(1 + (-1)^{c_1} + (-1)^{c_2} \\ &\quad + (-1)^{c_1+c_2}) \\ &= \begin{cases} (-2^{m+1})(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}a^{2^m+1})}, & \text{if } c_1 = c_2 = 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

It then follows from Definition 2 that f is semi-bent. Furthermore, from (18), if $\text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}u^{2^m}v) = \text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(u^{2^m}v+uv^{2^m})) = 1$ then the Walsh transform coefficient of the function f evaluated at 0 is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\chi}_f(0) &= \frac{1}{2}(-2^m)(1 + (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}v^{2^m+1})} \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}u^{2^m+1})} + (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}(v^{2^m+1}+u^{2^m+1}))}). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that $\hat{\chi}_f(0) = 0$ if $\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}v^{2^m+1}) = 1$ or $\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda^{-1}u^{2^m+1}) = 1$. Therefore, $f(x)$ is a balanced semi-bent function. \square

Remark 3: For a given $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$, the number of semi-bent functions f in Theorem 2 is equal to $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{u,v \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*} (1 - (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^n(\lambda^{-1}u^{2^m}v)}) = 2^{n-1}(2^n - 1)$.

B. New infinite families of bent, semi-bent and five-valued functions from Gold-like monomial function

In [4], Carlet et.al proved that the Gold-like monomial function $g(x) = \text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda x^{2^k+1})$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}$ where k is at least 2 and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}^*$, is self-dual or anti-self-dual bent if and only if $\lambda^2 + \lambda^{2^{3k+1}} = 1$ and $\lambda^{2^k+1} + \lambda^{2^{3k}+2^k} = 0$. Recently, Mesnager showed that $g(x) = \text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda x^{2^k+1})$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}$ is self-dual bent when $\lambda + \lambda^{2^{3k}} = 1$ in [31, Lemma 23], i.e., for each $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}^*$, the Walsh coefficient $\hat{\chi}_f(a)$ is

$$\hat{\chi}_g(a) = 2^{2k}(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda a^{2^k+1})}$$

when $\lambda + \lambda^{2^{3k}} = 1$.

Lemma 2: Let $k > 1$ be a positive integer and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}^*$ such that $\lambda + \lambda^{2^{3k}} = 1$. Then the linearized polynomial

$$l(x) = \lambda x + \lambda^{2^k} x^{2^{2k}}$$

is a permutation polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}$.

Proof: Firstly, we will prove that $\lambda + \lambda^{2^{3k}} = 1$ implies that $\lambda \notin \{x^{2^k+1} \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}\}$. It follows from $\lambda + \lambda^{2^{3k}} = 1$ that $\lambda + \lambda^{2^k} = 1$, which leads to $\lambda^{2^{3k}} + \lambda^{2^k} = 0$, i.e., $\lambda^{2^{2k}-1} = 1$. If $\lambda = a^{2^k+1}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}^*$, then $a^{(2^k+1)(2^{2k}-1)} = 1$. Since $\gcd((2^k+1)(2^{2k}-1), 2^{4k}-1) = 2^{2k}-1$, we know that $a^{2^{2k}-1} = 1$, i.e., $a^{(2^k-1)(2^k+1)} = \lambda^{2^k-1} = 1$. Thus, $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{2k}}^*$ which is contradiction with $\lambda + \lambda^{2^{3k}} = 1$.

Secondly, we will show that $l(x)$ is a permutation polynomial. Since $l(x)$ is a linearized polynomial, we have to prove that the equation $\lambda x + \lambda^{2^k} x^{2^{2k}} = 0$ has the only solution $x = 0$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}$. Assume that $\beta \neq 0$ is a solution of $\lambda x + \lambda^{2^k} x^{2^{2k}} = 0$. Then we have $\beta^{2^{2k}-1} = \lambda^{1-2^k}$, i.e.,

$$(\beta^{2^k+1})^{2^k-1} = \lambda^{1-2^k}.$$

It is easy to see that the left-hand side is a (2^k+1) th power, while the right-hand side is not a (2^k+1) th power because $\lambda \notin \{x^{2^k+1} \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}\}$. This gives a contradiction. Thus, $\lambda x + \lambda^{2^k} x^{2^{2k}}$ is a permutation polynomial over $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}$ when $\lambda + \lambda^{2^{3k}} = 1$. \square

Theorem 3: Let k be a positive integer such that $k > 1$ and let u, v, r be three pairwise distinct elements in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}^*$ such that $u + v + r \neq 0$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}^*$ such that $\lambda + \lambda^{2^{3k}} = 1$. If $\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda(u^{2^k}v + uv^{2^k})) = \text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda(r^{2^k}u + ru^{2^k})) = \text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda(r^{2^k}v + rv^{2^k})) = 0$, then the Boolean function

$$f(x) = \text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda x^{2^k+1}) + \text{Tr}_1^{4k}(ux)\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(vx)\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(rx)$$

over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}$ is a bent function. Otherwise, $f(x)$ is a five-valued function. Moreover, if $(\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda(r^{2^k}v + rv^{2^k})), \text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda(r^{2^k}u + ru^{2^k})), \text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda(u^{2^k}v + uv^{2^k}))) \in \{(0, 0, 1), (1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (1, 1, 1)\}$, when a runs through all elements in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}$, the distribution of the Walsh spectrum of five-valued function f is given by

$$\hat{\chi}_f(a) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{occurs } 2^{4k} - 2^{4k-1} - 2^{4k-3} \text{ times} \\ 2^{2k}, & \text{occurs } 2^{4k-2} + 2^{2k-1} \text{ times} \\ -2^{2k}, & \text{occurs } 2^{4k-2} - 2^{2k-1} \text{ times} \\ 2^{2k+1}, & \text{occurs } 2^{4k-4} \text{ times} \\ -2^{2k+1}, & \text{occurs } 2^{4k-4} \text{ times.} \end{cases}$$

If $(\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda(r^{2^k}v + rv^{2^k})), \text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda(r^{2^k}u + ru^{2^k})), \text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda(u^{2^k}v + uv^{2^k}))) \in \{(1, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1), (0, 1, 1)\}$, when a runs through all elements in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} , the distribution of the Walsh spectrum of five-valued function f is given by

$$\hat{\chi}_f(a) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{occurs } 2^{4k} - 2^{4k-1} - 2^{4k-3} \text{ times} \\ 2^{2k}, & \text{occurs } 2^{4k-2} \text{ times} \\ -2^{2k}, & \text{occurs } 2^{4k-2} \text{ times} \\ 2^{2k+1}, & \text{occurs } 2^{4k-4} + 2^{2k-2} \text{ times} \\ -2^{2k+1}, & \text{occurs } 2^{4k-4} - 2^{2k-2} \text{ times.} \end{cases}$$

Proof: Let $g(x) = \text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda x^{2^k+1})$. We write $\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda(r^{2^k}v + rv^{2^k})) = t_1$, $\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda(r^{2^k}u + ru^{2^k})) = t_2$, $\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda(u^{2^k}v + uv^{2^k})) = t_3$. Denote $c_1 = \text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda(a^{2^k}v + av^{2^k} + v^{2^k+1}))$, $c_2 = \text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda(a^{2^k}u + au^{2^k} + u^{2^k+1}))$ and $c_3 = \text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda(a^{2^k}r + ar^{2^k} + r^{2^k+1}))$. By analyses similar to those in Theorem 1, we have

$$\hat{\chi}_f(a) = \triangle_1 + \triangle_2,$$

where

$$\triangle_1 = \frac{1}{4} 2^{2k} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda a^{2^k+1})} [3 + (-1)^{c_1} + (-1)^{c_2} - (-1)^{c_1+c_2+t_3}] \quad (19)$$

and

$$\triangle_2 = \frac{1}{4} 2^{2k} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda a^{2^k+1})+c_3} \times [1 - (-1)^{c_1+t_1} - (-1)^{c_2+t_2} + (-1)^{c_1+c_2+t_1+t_2+t_3}]. \quad (20)$$

Similar to Theorem 1, we can prove that $f(x)$ is bent if $t_1 = t_2 = t_3 = 0$.

Next we will prove that f is five-valued and determine its distribution of the Walsh transform in the case of $t_1 = t_2 = 0$ and $t_3 = 1$ since the others can be proven in a similar manner. In this case, (19) and (20) become

$$\triangle_1 = \frac{1}{4} 2^{2k} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda a^{2^k+1})} [3 + (-1)^{c_1} + (-1)^{c_2} + (-1)^{c_1+c_2}]$$

and

$$\triangle_2 = \frac{1}{4} 2^{2k} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda a^{2^k+1})+c_3} \times [1 - (-1)^{c_1} - (-1)^{c_2} - (-1)^{c_1+c_2}].$$

When $c_3 = 0$, then we have

$$\hat{\chi}_f(a) = \triangle_1 + \triangle_2 = 2^{2k} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda a^{2^k+1})}. \quad (21)$$

When $c_3 = 1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\chi}_f(a) &= \triangle_1 + \triangle_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} 2^{2k} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda a^{2^k+1})} [1 + (-1)^{c_1} + (-1)^{c_2} + (-1)^{c_1+c_2}] \\ &= \begin{cases} 2^{2k+1} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda a^{2^k+1})}, & \text{if } c_1 = c_2 = 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Thus, f is a five-valued function if $t_1 = t_2 = 0$ and $t_3 = 1$.

Let $c_0 = \text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda a^{2^k+1})$. Let $N_{2^{2k}}$ (resp., $N_{-2^{2k}}$) denote the number of $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}$ such that $\widehat{\chi}_f(a) = 2^{2k}$ (resp., -2^{2k}). From (21), we know that $\widehat{\chi}_f(a) = 2^{2k}$ if $c_3 = 0$ and $c_0 = 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_{2^{2k}} &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (1 + (-1)^{c_0})(1 + (-1)^{c_3}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (1 + (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda a^{2^k+1})}) \\ &\quad \times (1 + (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda(a^{2^k}r + ar^{2^k} + r^{2^k+1})))}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left[2^{4k} + \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda a^{2^k+1})} \right. \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda r^{2^k+1})} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(a^{2^k}(\lambda r + \lambda^{2^k} r^{2^{2k}}))} \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda(a+r)^{2^k+1})} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\lambda + \lambda^{2^{3k}} = 1$ and $r \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}^*$. It then follows from Lemma 2 that $\lambda r + \lambda^{2^k} r^{2^{2k}} \neq 0$, which implies that

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(a^{2^k}(\lambda r + \lambda^{2^k} r^{2^{2k}}))} = 0.$$

Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda a^{2^k+1})} &= \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda(a+r)^{2^k+1})} \\ &= \widehat{\chi}_g(0) = 2^{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $N_{2^{2k}} = 2^{4k-2} + 2^{2k-1}$. Similarly, it follows from (21) that

$$\begin{aligned} N_{-2^{2k}} &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (1 - (-1)^{c_0})(1 + (-1)^{c_3}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (1 - (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda a^{2^k+1})}) \\ &\quad \times (1 + (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda(a^{2^k}r + ar^{2^k} + r^{2^k+1})))}) \\ &= 2^{4k-2} - 2^{2k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $N_{2^{2k+1}}$ (resp., $N_{-2^{2k+1}}$) denote the number of $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}$ such that $\widehat{\chi}_f(a) = 2^{2k+1}$ (resp., -2^{2k+1}). From (22), we know that if $c_3 = 1$, $c_1 = c_2 = 0$ and $c_0 = 0$, then $\widehat{\chi}_f(a) = 2^{2k+1}$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_{2^{2k+1}} &= \frac{1}{16} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (1 + (-1)^{c_0})(1 - (-1)^{c_3})(1 + (-1)^{c_2}) \\ &\quad \times (1 + (-1)^{c_1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{16} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} [1 + (-1)^{c_1} + (-1)^{c_2} + (-1)^{c_1+c_2} \\ &\quad - (-1)^{c_3} - (-1)^{c_3+c_1} - (-1)^{c_3+c_2} - (-1)^{c_3+c_2+c_1} \\ &\quad + (-1)^{c_0} + (-1)^{c_0+c_1} + (-1)^{c_0+c_2} + (-1)^{c_0+c_2+c_1} \\ &\quad - (-1)^{c_0+c_3} - (-1)^{c_0+c_3+c_1} - (-1)^{c_0+c_3+c_2} \\ &\quad - (-1)^{c_0+c_3+c_1+c_2}]. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to above, we have

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (-1)^{c_1} = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (-1)^{c_2} = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (-1)^{c_3} = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (-1)^{c_0} &= \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (-1)^{c_0+c_1} = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (-1)^{c_0+c_2} \\ &= \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (-1)^{c_0+c_3} = \widehat{\chi}_g(0) = 2^{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that u, v, r are pairwise distinct and $u + v + r \neq 0$ and by lemma 2 again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (-1)^{c_1+c_2} &= (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda(u^{2^k+1}+v^{2^k+1}))} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(a^{2^k}(\lambda(u+v)+\lambda^{2^k}(u+v)^{2^{2k}}))} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (-1)^{c_1+c_2+c_3} &= (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda(u^{2^k+1}+v^{2^k+1}+r^{2^k+1}))} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(a^{2^k}(\lambda(u+v+r)+\lambda^{2^k}(u+v+r)^{2^{2k}}))} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (-1)^{c_3+c_1} = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (-1)^{c_3+c_2} = 0$.

Since $t_1 = t_2 = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (-1)^{c_0+c_3+c_1} &= \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (-1)^{c_0+c_3+c_1+t_1} \\ &= \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda(a+r+v)^{2^k+1})} \\ &= \widehat{\chi}_g(0) = 2^{2k} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (-1)^{c_0+c_3+c_2} &= \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (-1)^{c_0+c_3+c_2+t_2} \\ &= \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda(a+r+u)^{2^k+1})} \\ &= \widehat{\chi}_g(0) = 2^{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $t_3 = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (-1)^{c_0+c_2+c_1} &= - \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (-1)^{c_0+c_2+c_1+t_3} \\ &= - \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda(a+u+v)^{2^k+1})} \\ &= -\widehat{\chi}_g(0) = -2^{2k} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (-1)^{c_0+c_3+c_1+c_2} &= - \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (-1)^{c_0+c_3+c_1+c_2+t_1+t_2+t_3} \\ &= - \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\lambda(a+r+u+v)^{2^k+1})} \\ &= -\widehat{\chi}_g(0) = -2^{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$N_{2^{m+1}} = \frac{1}{16} 2^{4k} = 2^{4k-4}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_{-2^{m+1}} &= \frac{1}{16} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (1 - (-1)^{c_0})(1 - (-1)^{c_3})(1 + (-1)^{c_2}) \\ &\quad \times (1 + (-1)^{c_1}) \\ &= 2^{4k-4}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the number of $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}$ such that $\widehat{\chi}_f(a) = 0$ is equal to $2^{4k} - 2^{4k-1} - 2^{4k-3}$. \square

By analyses similar to those in Theorems 2, we get the following result.

Theorem 4: Let k be a positive integer such that $k > 1$ and let $u, v \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$. Assume that $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}^*$ such that $\lambda + \lambda^{2^{3k}} = 1$. Define a Boolean function as

$$f(x) = \text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda x^{2^k+1}) + \text{Tr}_1^{4k}(ux)\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(vx)$$

over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}$. Then the following hold:

- 1) If $\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda(u^{2^k}v + uv^{2^k})) = 0$, then f is bent.
- 2) If $\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda(u^{2^k}v + uv^{2^k})) = 1$, then f is semi-bent. Moreover, if $\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda u^{2^k+1}) = 1$ or $\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\lambda v^{2^k+1}) = 1$, then f is a balanced semi-bent function.

Example 3: Let $k = 2$, \mathbb{F}_{2^8} be generated by the primitive polynomial $x^8 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + 1$ and ξ be a primitive element of \mathbb{F}_{2^8} .

1) Let \mathcal{P} be the set of all permutations on $0, 1, 2$. If one takes $\lambda = \xi^{34}$, $u = \xi^{212}$, $v = \xi^{10}$ and $r = \xi^{16}$, then by a Magma program, one can get $\lambda + \lambda^{2^6} = 1$, $\text{Tr}_1^8(\lambda(u^4v + uv^4)) = \text{Tr}_1^8(\lambda(r^4u + ru^4)) = \text{Tr}_1^8(\lambda(r^4v + rv^4)) = 0$ and $\sum_{(i,j,k) \in \mathcal{P}} u^{2^i} v^{2^j} v^{2^k} = \xi^8 \neq 0$. Computer experiment shows that $f(x) = \text{Tr}_1^8(\xi^{34}x^5) + \text{Tr}_1^8(\xi^{212}x)\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\xi^{10}x)\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\xi^{16}x)$ given by in Theorem 3 is a cubic bent function, which is consistent with the results given in Theorem 3.

2) If one takes $\lambda = \xi^{34}$, $u = \xi^{212}$, $v = \xi^{10}$ and $r = \xi^{12}$, then by a Magma program, one can get $\text{Tr}_1^8(\lambda(r^4v + rv^4)) = \text{Tr}_1^8(\lambda(r^4u + ru^4)) = 1$ and $\text{Tr}_1^8(\lambda(u^4v + uv^4)) = 0$. Computer experiment shows that $f(x) = \text{Tr}_1^8(\xi^{34}x^5) + \text{Tr}_1^8(\xi^{212}x)\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\xi^{10}x)\text{Tr}_1^{4k}(\xi^{12}x)$ given by in Theorem 3 is a five-valued function and its distribution of the Walsh spectrum is

$$\widehat{\chi}_f(a) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{occurs 96 times} \\ 16, & \text{occurs 64 times} \\ -16, & \text{occurs 64 times} \\ 32, & \text{occurs 20 times} \\ -32, & \text{occurs 12 times.} \end{cases}$$

This is compatible with the results given in Theorem 3.

IV. NEW INFINITE FAMILY OF BENT FUNCTIONS FROM THE NIHO EXPONENTS

The bent function

$$g = \text{Tr}_1^m(x^{2^m+1}) + \text{Tr}_1^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2^{k-1}-1} x^{(2^m-1)\frac{i}{2^k}+1} \right)$$

via 2^k Niho exponents was found by Leander and Kholosha [34], where $\gcd(k, m) = 1$. Take any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ with $\alpha + \alpha^{2^m} = 1$. It was shown in [35] that \tilde{g} is

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}(x) &= \text{Tr}_1^m((\alpha(1+a+a^{2^m}) + \alpha^{2^{n-k}} + a^{2^m}) \\ &\quad \times (1+a+a^{2^m})^{1/(2^k-1)}). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Now using Lemma 1 and (23), we can present the following class of bent functions via 2^k Niho exponents.

Theorem 5: Let $n = 2m$, k be a positive with $\gcd(k, m) = 1$ and $u, v, r \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ such that $u+v+r \neq 0$. Then the Boolean function

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \text{Tr}_1^m(x^{2^m+1}) + \text{Tr}_1^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2^{k-1}-1} x^{(2^m-1)\frac{i}{2^k}+1} \right) \\ &\quad + \text{Tr}_1^n(ux)\text{Tr}_1^n(vx)\text{Tr}_1^n(rx) \end{aligned}$$

is a bent function.

Proof: Let

$$g(x) = \text{Tr}_1^m(x^{2^m+1}) + \text{Tr}_1^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2^{k-1}-1} x^{(2^m-1)\frac{i}{2^k}+1} \right).$$

For each $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, by Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\chi}_f(a) &= \frac{1}{4} [3\widehat{\chi}_g(a) + \widehat{\chi}_g(a+v) + \widehat{\chi}_g(a+u) - \widehat{\chi}_g(a+u+v) \\ &\quad + \widehat{\chi}_g(a+r) - \widehat{\chi}_g(a+r+v) - \widehat{\chi}_g(a+r+u) \\ &\quad + \widehat{\chi}_g(a+r+u+v)] \\ &= \Delta_1 + \Delta_2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Delta_1 = \frac{1}{4} [3\widehat{\chi}_g(a) + \widehat{\chi}_g(a+v) + \widehat{\chi}_g(a+u) - \widehat{\chi}_g(a+u+v)]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_2 &= \frac{1}{4} [\widehat{\chi}_g(a+r) - \widehat{\chi}_g(a+r+v) - \widehat{\chi}_g(a+r+u) \\ &\quad + \widehat{\chi}_g(a+r+u+v)]. \end{aligned}$$

Set $A = 1 + a + a^{2^m}$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ such that $\alpha + \alpha^{2^m} = 1$. It follows from (23) that

$$\widehat{\chi}_g(a) = 2^m (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m((\alpha A + \alpha^{2^{n-k}} + a^{2^m}) A^{1/(2^k-1)})}.$$

Now we compute Δ_1 and Δ_2 respectively. Note that $u, v, r \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_1 &= \frac{1}{4} [3 + \widehat{\chi}_g(a+v) + \widehat{\chi}_g(a+u) - \widehat{\chi}_g(a+u+v)] \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \widehat{\chi}_g(a) [3 + (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(vA^{1/(2^k-1)})} + (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(uA^{1/(2^k-1)})} \\
&\quad - (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(vA^{1/(2^k-1)}) + \text{Tr}_1^m(uA^{1/(2^k-1)})}] \\
&= \frac{1}{4} 2^m (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m((\alpha A + \alpha^{2^{n-k}} + a^{2^m})A^{1/(2^k-1)})} \\
&\quad \times [3 + (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(vA^{1/(2^k-1)})} + (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(uA^{1/(2^k-1)})} \\
&\quad - (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(vA^{1/(2^k-1)}) + \text{Tr}_1^m(uA^{1/(2^k-1)})}]. \quad (24)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_2 &= \frac{1}{4} 2^m (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m((\alpha A + \alpha^{2^{n-k}} + a^{2^m} + r)A^{1/(2^k-1)})} \\
&\quad \times [1 - (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(vA^{1/(2^k-1)})} - (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(uA^{1/(2^k-1)})} \\
&\quad + (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(vA^{1/(2^k-1)}) + \text{Tr}_1^m(uA^{1/(2^k-1)})}]. \quad (25)
\end{aligned}$$

Let $c_1 = \text{Tr}_1^m(vA^{1/(2^k-1)})$ and $c_2 = \text{Tr}_1^m(uA^{1/(2^k-1)})$. When $\text{Tr}_1^m(rA^{1/(2^k-1)}) = 0$, by Eqs. (24) and (25) we have

$$\widehat{\chi}_f(a) = \Delta_1 + \Delta_2 = 2^m (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m((\alpha A + \alpha^{2^{n-k}} + a^{2^m})A^{1/(2^k-1)})}.$$

When $\text{Tr}_1^m(rA^{1/(2^k-1)}) = 1$, by (24) and (25) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\chi}_f(a) &= \Delta_1 + \Delta_2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} 2^m (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m((\alpha A + \alpha^{2^{n-k}} + a^{2^m})A^{1/(2^k-1)})} [1 + (-1)^{c_1} \\
&\quad + (-1)^{c_2} - (-1)^{c_1+c_2}] \\
&= \begin{cases} -2^m (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m((\alpha A + \alpha^{2^{n-k}} + a^{2^m})A^{1/(2^k-1)})}, & \text{if } c_1 = 1, \\ 2^m (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m((\alpha A + \alpha^{2^{n-k}} + a^{2^m})A^{1/(2^k-1)})}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $f(x)$ is a bent function. \square

Remark 4: This result generalizes the case in [31, Theorem 11] for $r = v$. It may be noted that we can not construct more bent functions for the case $u, v, r \notin \mathbb{F}_{2^m}^*$ according to our numerical results.

Example 4: Let $m = 4$, $k = 3$ and \mathbb{F}_{2^8} be generated by the primitive polynomial $x^8 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + 1$ and ξ be a primitive element of \mathbb{F}_{2^8} . If we take $u = \xi^{34}$, $v = \xi^{17}$, $r = \xi^{51}$, then by a Magma program, we can see that $f(x) = \text{Tr}_1^4(\lambda x^{17}) + \text{Tr}_1^8(x^{226}) + \text{Tr}_1^8(x^{196}) + \text{Tr}_1^8(x^{166}) + \text{Tr}_1^8(\xi^{34}x) \text{Tr}_1^8(\xi^{17}x) \text{Tr}_1^8(\xi^{51}x)$ given by in Theorem 5 is a bent function, which is consistent with the results given in Theorem 5.

V. NEW INFINITE FAMILIES OF BENT, SEMI-BENT AND FIVE-VALUED FUNCTIONS FROM THE CLASS OF MAIORANA-MCFARLAND

In this section, we identify \mathbb{F}_{2^n} (where $n = 2m$) with $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ and consider Boolean functions with bivariate representation $f(x, y) = \text{Tr}_1^m(P(x, y))$, where $P(x, y)$ is a

polynomial in two variables over \mathbb{F}_{2^m} . For $a = (a_1, a_2), b = (b_1, b_2) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, the scalar product in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} can be defined as

$$\langle (a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2) \rangle = \text{Tr}_1^m(a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2).$$

The well-known Maiorana-McFarland class of bent functions can be defined as follows.

$$g(x, y) = \text{Tr}_1^m(x\pi(y)) + h(y), (x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$$

where $\pi : \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ is a permutation and h is a Boolean function over \mathbb{F}_{2^m} , and its dual is given by

$$\tilde{g}(x, y) = \text{Tr}_1^m(y\pi^{-1}(x)) + h(\pi^{-1}(x))$$

where π^{-1} denotes the inverse mapping of the permutation π [4]. This together with the definition of the dual function implies that for each $a = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$

$$\widehat{\chi}_g(a_1, a_2) = 2^m (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2 \pi^{-1}(a_1)) + h(\pi^{-1}(a_1))}. \quad (26)$$

In what follows, by choosing suitable permutations π , we will construct some new bent, semi-bent and five-valued functions from the class of Maiorana-McFarland. It is well known that the compositional inverse of a linearized permutation polynomial is also a linearized polynomial. The following two theorems will employ the linearized permutation polynomial over \mathbb{F}_{2^m} to give new Boolean functions with few Walsh transform values.

Theorem 6: Let $n = 2m$ and $u = (u_1, u_2), v = (v_1, v_2), r = (r_1, r_2)$ are three pairwise distinct nonzero elements in $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ such that $u + v + r \neq 0$. Assume that π is a linearized permutation polynomial over \mathbb{F}_{2^m} . Let $f(x, y)$ be the Boolean function given by

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x, y) &= \text{Tr}_1^m(x\pi(y)) + \text{Tr}_1^m(y) \\
&\quad + \text{Tr}_1^m(u_1 x + u_2 y) \text{Tr}_1^m(v_1 x + v_2 y) \text{Tr}_1^m(r_1 x + r_2 y).
\end{aligned}$$

If $\text{Tr}_1^m(r_2 \pi^{-1}(v_1) + v_2 \pi^{-1}(r_1)) = 0$, $\text{Tr}_1^m(r_2 \pi^{-1}(u_1) + u_2 \pi^{-1}(r_1)) = 0$ and $\text{Tr}_1^m(u_2 \pi^{-1}(v_1) + v_2 \pi^{-1}(u_1)) = 0$, then $f(x, y)$ is bent. Otherwise, $f(x, y)$ is five-valued and the Walsh spectrum of $f(x, y)$ is $\{0, \pm 2^m, \pm 2^{m+1}\}$. Moreover, if $(\text{Tr}_1^m(r_2 \pi^{-1}(v_1) + v_2 \pi^{-1}(r_1)), \text{Tr}_1^m(r_2 \pi^{-1}(u_1) + u_2 \pi^{-1}(r_1)), \text{Tr}_1^m(u_2 \pi^{-1}(v_1) + v_2 \pi^{-1}(u_1))) \in \{(0, 0, 1), (1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (1, 1, 1)\}$, when (a_1, a_2) runs through all elements in $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$, the distribution of the Walsh spectrum of five-valued function $f(x, y)$ is given by

$$\widehat{\chi}_f(a) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{occurs } 2^n - 2^{n-1} - 2^{n-3} \text{ times} \\ 2^m, & \text{occurs } 2^{n-2} + 2^{m-1} \text{ times} \\ -2^m, & \text{occurs } 2^{n-2} - 2^{m-1} \text{ times} \\ 2^{m+1}, & \text{occurs } 2^{n-4} \text{ times} \\ -2^{m+1}, & \text{occurs } 2^{n-4} \text{ times.} \end{cases}$$

If $(\text{Tr}_1^m(r_2 \pi^{-1}(v_1) + v_2 \pi^{-1}(r_1)), \text{Tr}_1^m(r_2 \pi^{-1}(u_1) + u_2 \pi^{-1}(r_1)), \text{Tr}_1^m(u_2 \pi^{-1}(v_1) + v_2 \pi^{-1}(u_1))) \in \{(1, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1), (0, 1, 1)\}$, when (a_1, a_2) runs through all elements in $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$, the distribution of the Walsh

spectrum of five-valued function $f(x, y)$ is given by

$$\widehat{\chi}_f(a) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{occurs } 2^n - 2^{n-1} - 2^{n-3} \text{ times} \\ 2^m, & \text{occurs } 2^{n-2} \text{ times} \\ -2^m, & \text{occurs } 2^{n-2} \text{ times} \\ 2^{m+1}, & \text{occurs } 2^{n-4} + 2^{m-2} \text{ times} \\ -2^{m+1}, & \text{occurs } 2^{n-4} - 2^{m-2} \text{ times.} \end{cases}$$

Proof: Let $g(x, y) = \text{Tr}_1^m(x\pi(y)) + \text{Tr}_1^m(y)$. From (26), for each $(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$, we get

$$\widehat{\chi}_g(a_1, a_2) = 2^m(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2\pi^{-1}(a_1)) + \text{Tr}_1^m(\pi^{-1}(a_1))}. \quad (27)$$

Applying Lemma 1 again, for each $(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$, we have

$$\widehat{\chi}_f(a_1, a_2) = \Delta_1 + \Delta_2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 = & \frac{1}{4} [3\widehat{\chi}_g(a_1, a_2) + \widehat{\chi}_g(a_1 + v_1, a_2 + v_2) \\ & + \widehat{\chi}_g(a_1 + u_1, a_2 + u_2) \\ & - \widehat{\chi}_g(a_1 + v_1 + u_1, a_2 + v_2 + u_2)] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_2 = & \frac{1}{4} [\widehat{\chi}_g(a_1 + r_1, a_2 + r_2) - \widehat{\chi}_g(a_1 + r_1 + v_1, a_2 + r_2 + v_2) \\ & - \widehat{\chi}_g(a_1 + r_1 + u_1, a_2 + r_2 + u_2) \\ & + \widehat{\chi}_g(a_1 + r_1 + v_1 + u_1, a_2 + r_2 + v_2 + u_2)]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that π^{-1} is a linearized polynomial. It then follows from (27) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{\chi}_g(a_1 + v_1, a_2 + v_2) \\ = & 2^m(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m((a_2+v_2)\pi^{-1}(a_1+v_1)) + \text{Tr}_1^m(\pi^{-1}(a_1+v_1))} \\ = & 2^m(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2\pi^{-1}(a_1)) + \text{Tr}_1^m(\pi^{-1}(a_1))} \\ & \times (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2\pi^{-1}(v_1) + v_2\pi^{-1}(a_1) + (v_2+1)\pi^{-1}(v_1))} \\ = & \widehat{\chi}_g(a_1, a_2)(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2\pi^{-1}(v_1) + v_2\pi^{-1}(a_1) + (v_2+1)\pi^{-1}(v_1))}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{\chi}_g(a_1 + u_1, a_2 + u_2) \\ = & \widehat{\chi}_g(a_1, a_2)(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2\pi^{-1}(u_1) + u_2\pi^{-1}(a_1) + (u_2+1)\pi^{-1}(u_1))}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{\chi}_g(a_1 + v_1 + u_1, a_2 + v_2 + u_2) \\ = & \widehat{\chi}_g(a_1, a_2)(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2\pi^{-1}(v_1) + v_2\pi^{-1}(a_1) + (v_2+1)\pi^{-1}(v_1))} \\ & \times (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2\pi^{-1}(u_1) + u_2\pi^{-1}(a_1) + (u_2+1)\pi^{-1}(u_1))} \\ & \times (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(u_2\pi^{-1}(v_1) + v_2\pi^{-1}(u_1))}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{\chi}_g(a_1 + r_1 + v_1, a_2 + r_2 + v_2) \\ = & \widehat{\chi}_g(a_1 + r_1, a_2 + r_2) \\ & \times (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2\pi^{-1}(v_1) + v_2\pi^{-1}(a_1) + (v_2+1)\pi^{-1}(v_1))} \\ & \times (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(r_2\pi^{-1}(v_1) + v_2\pi^{-1}(r_1))} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can compute the values of $\widehat{\chi}_g(a_1 + r_1 + u_1, a_2 + r_2 + u_2)$ and $\widehat{\chi}_g(a_1 + r_1 + v_1 + u_1, a_2 + r_2 + v_2 + u_2)$ as follows respectively.

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{\chi}_g(a_1 + r_1 + u_1, a_2 + r_2 + u_2) \\ = & \widehat{\chi}_g(a_1 + r_1, a_2 + r_2) \\ & \times (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2\pi^{-1}(u_1) + u_2\pi^{-1}(a_1) + (u_2+1)\pi^{-1}(u_1))} \\ & \times (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(r_2\pi^{-1}(u_1) + u_2\pi^{-1}(r_1))} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{\chi}_g(a_1 + r_1 + v_1 + u_1, a_2 + r_2 + v_2 + u_2) \\ = & \widehat{\chi}_g(a_1 + r_1, a_2 + r_2) \\ & \times (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2\pi^{-1}(v_1) + v_2\pi^{-1}(a_1) + (v_2+1)\pi^{-1}(v_1))} \\ & \times (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2\pi^{-1}(u_1) + u_2\pi^{-1}(a_1) + (u_2+1)\pi^{-1}(u_1))} \\ & \times (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(r_2\pi^{-1}(v_1) + v_2\pi^{-1}(r_1)) + \text{Tr}_1^m(r_2\pi^{-1}(u_1) + u_2\pi^{-1}(r_1))} \\ & \times (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(u_2\pi^{-1}(v_1) + v_2\pi^{-1}(u_1))}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $c_1 = \text{Tr}_1^m(a_2\pi^{-1}(v_1) + v_2\pi^{-1}(a_1) + (v_2+1)\pi^{-1}(v_1))$, $c_2 = \text{Tr}_1^m(a_2\pi^{-1}(u_1) + u_2\pi^{-1}(a_1) + (u_2+1)\pi^{-1}(u_1))$ and $c_3 = \text{Tr}_1^m(a_2\pi^{-1}(r_1) + r_2\pi^{-1}(a_1) + (r_2+1)\pi^{-1}(r_1))$. Denote $t_1 = \text{Tr}_1^m(r_2\pi^{-1}(v_1) + v_2\pi^{-1}(r_1))$, $t_2 = \text{Tr}_1^m(r_2\pi^{-1}(u_1) + u_2\pi^{-1}(r_1))$ and $t_3 = \text{Tr}_1^m(u_2\pi^{-1}(v_1) + v_2\pi^{-1}(u_1))$. Summarizing the discussion above, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 = & \frac{1}{4} 2^m(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2\pi^{-1}(a_1)) + \text{Tr}_1^m(\pi^{-1}(a_1))} [3 + (-1)^{c_1} \\ & + (-1)^{c_2} - (-1)^{c_1+c_2+t_3}] \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_2 = & \frac{1}{4} 2^m(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2\pi^{-1}(a_1)) + \text{Tr}_1^m(\pi^{-1}(a_1)) + c_3} \\ & \times [1 - (-1)^{c_1+t_1} - (-1)^{c_2+t_2} + (-1)^{c_1+c_2+t_1+t_2+t_3}]. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Similar as in Theorem 1, we can show that when $t_1 = t_2 = t_3 = 0$ and $c_3 = 0$

$$\widehat{\chi}_f(a_1, a_2) = 2^m(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2\pi^{-1}(a_1)) + \text{Tr}_1^m(\pi^{-1}(a_1))}$$

and when $t_1 = t_2 = t_3 = 0$ and $c_3 = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{\chi}_f(a_1, a_2) \\ = & \begin{cases} -2^m(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2\pi^{-1}(a_1)) + \text{Tr}_1^m(\pi^{-1}(a_1))}, & \text{if } c_1 = c_2 = 1 \\ 2^m(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2\pi^{-1}(a_1)) + \text{Tr}_1^m(\pi^{-1}(a_1))}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $f(x, y)$ is bent if $t_1 = t_2 = t_3 = 0$.

Next we will prove that $f(x, y)$ is five-valued and determine the distribution of its Walsh transform in the case of $t_1 = t_2 = 1$ and $t_3 = 0$ and others can be proved by a similar manner. In this case, (28) and (29) become

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 = & \frac{1}{4} 2^m(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2\pi^{-1}(a_1)) + \text{Tr}_1^m(\pi^{-1}(a_1))} [3 + (-1)^{c_1} \\ & + (-1)^{c_2} - (-1)^{c_1+c_2}] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_2 = & \frac{1}{4} 2^m(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2\pi^{-1}(a_1)) + \text{Tr}_1^m(\pi^{-1}(a_1)) + c_3} [1 + (-1)^{c_1} \\ & + (-1)^{c_2} + (-1)^{c_1+c_2}]. \end{aligned}$$

When $c_3 = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\chi}_f(a_1, a_2) \\
&= \triangle_1 + \triangle_2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} 2^m (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2 \pi^{-1}(a_1)) + \text{Tr}_1^m(\pi^{-1}(a_1))} [2 + (-1)^{c_1} + (-1)^{c_2}] \\
&= \begin{cases} 2^{m+1} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2 \pi^{-1}(a_1)) + \text{Tr}_1^m(\pi^{-1}(a_1))}, & \text{if } c_1 = c_2 = 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } c_1 = c_2 = 1 \\ 2^m (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2 \pi^{-1}(a_1)) + \text{Tr}_1^m(\pi^{-1}(a_1))}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (30)
\end{aligned}$$

When $c_3 = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\chi}_f(a_1, a_2) \\
&= \triangle_1 + \triangle_2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} 2^m (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2 \pi^{-1}(a_1)) + \text{Tr}_1^m(\pi^{-1}(a_1))} [1 - (-1)^{c_1 + c_2}] \\
&= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } c_1 = c_2 = 1 \\ & \text{or } c_1 = c_2 = 0 \\ 2^m (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2 \pi^{-1}(a_1)) + \text{Tr}_1^m(\pi^{-1}(a_1))}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (31)
\end{aligned}$$

Combing (30) and (31), we conclude that $f(x, y)$ is five-valued and the Walsh spectrum of $f(x, y)$ is $\{0, \pm 2^m, \pm 2^{m+1}\}$.

Let $c_0 = \text{Tr}_1^m(a_2 \pi^{-1}(a_1)) + \text{Tr}_1^m(\pi^{-1}(a_1))$ and denote by N_i the number of $(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ such that $\hat{\chi}_f(a_1, a_2) = 2^m i$, where $i = 0, 1, -1, 2, 2$.

Firstly, we compute N_{2^m} and N_{-2^m} . From (30) and (31), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{2^m} &= \frac{1}{8} \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (1 + (-1)^{c_0})(1 + (-1)^{c_1})(1 - (-1)^{c_2}) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (1 + (-1)^{c_0})(1 - (-1)^{c_1})(1 + (-1)^{c_2}) \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (1 + (-1)^{c_0})(1 - (-1)^{c_1 + c_2}) \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \left[2^n - \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{c_1 + c_2} + \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{c_0} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{c_0 + c_1 + c_2} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

For $\sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{c_0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{c_0} &= \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2 \pi^{-1}(a_1)) + \text{Tr}_1^m(\pi^{-1}(a_1))} \\
&= \hat{\chi}_{\bar{g}}(0) = 2^m. \quad (32)
\end{aligned}$$

Since π is linearized permutation polynomial over \mathbb{F}_{2^m} and $t_3 = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{c_0 + c_1 + c_2} = \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{c_0 + c_1 + c_2 + t_3} \\
&= \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m((a_2 + v_2 + u_2) \pi^{-1}(a_1 + v_1 + u_1))} \\
&\quad \times (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\pi^{-1}(a_1 + v_1 + u_1))} \\
&= \hat{\chi}_{\bar{g}}(0) = 2^m. \quad (33)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{c_1 + c_2} \\
&= \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2 \pi^{-1}(v_1) + v_2 \pi^{-1}(a_1) + (v_2 + 1) \pi^{-1}(v_1))} \\
&\quad \times (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2 \pi^{-1}(u_1) + u_2 \pi^{-1}(a_1) + (u_2 + 1) \pi^{-1}(u_1))} \\
&= (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m((v_2 + 1) \pi^{-1}(v_1) + (u_2 + 1) \pi^{-1}(u_1))} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{a_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\pi^{-1}(a_1)(v_2 + u_2))} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2 \pi^{-1}(u_1 + v_1))} \\
&= 0 \quad (34)
\end{aligned}$$

where the last identity holds since $(u_1, u_2) \neq (v_1, v_2)$ implies that either $u_1 + v_1 \neq 0$ or $u_2 + v_2 \neq 0$. Based on the analysis above, we have $N_{2^m} = 2^{n-2}$. Similarly, we can get $N_{-2^m} = 2^{n-2}$.

Secondly, we compute $N_{2^{m+1}}$ and $N_{-2^{m+1}}$. It follows from (30) that

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{2^{m+1}} &= \frac{1}{16} \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (1 + (-1)^{c_0})(1 + (-1)^{c_1})(1 + (-1)^{c_2}) \\
&\quad \times (1 + (-1)^{c_3}) \\
&= \frac{1}{16} \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} [1 + (-1)^{c_1} + (-1)^{c_2} + (-1)^{c_1 + c_2} \\
&\quad + (-1)^{c_3} + (-1)^{c_3 + c_1} + (-1)^{c_3 + c_2} + (-1)^{c_3 + c_2 + c_1} \\
&\quad + (-1)^{c_0} + (-1)^{c_0 + c_1} + (-1)^{c_0 + c_2} + (-1)^{c_0 + c_2 + c_1} \\
&\quad + (-1)^{c_0 + c_3} + (-1)^{c_0 + c_3 + c_1} + (-1)^{c_0 + c_3 + c_2} \\
&\quad + (-1)^{c_0 + c_3 + c_1 + c_2}].
\end{aligned}$$

Note that u, v, r are pairwise distinct. Similar to (34), we have

$$\sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{c_1} = \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{c_2} = \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{c_3} = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{c_1 + c_2} &= \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{c_3 + c_1} \\
&= \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{c_3 + c_2} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $u + v + r \neq 0$ implies that either $u_1 + v_1 + r_1 \neq 0$ or $u_2 + v_2 + r_2 \neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{c_1 + c_2 + c_3} \\
&= (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m((v_2 + 1) \pi^{-1}(v_1) + (u_2 + 1) \pi^{-1}(u_1) + (r_2 + 1) \pi^{-1}(r_1))} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{a_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\pi^{-1}(a_1)(v_2 + u_2 + r_2))} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2 \pi^{-1}(u_1 + v_1 + r_1))} \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Similar to (32), we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{c_0} &= \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{c_0+c_1} = \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{c_0+c_2} \\ &= \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{c_0+c_3} = \hat{\chi}_{\hat{g}}(0) = 2^m. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to (33), since $t_1 = t_2 = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{c_0+c_3+c_1} &= - \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{c_0+c_3+c_1+t_1} \\ &= - \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m((a_2+r_2+v_2)\pi^{-1}(a_1+r_1+v_1))} \\ &\times (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\pi^{-1}(a_1+r_1+v_1))} \\ &= -\hat{\chi}_{\hat{g}}(0) = -2^m \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{c_0+c_3+c_2} &= - \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{c_0+c_3+c_2+t_2} \\ &= - \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m((a_2+r_2+u_2)\pi^{-1}(a_1+r_1+u_1))} \\ &\times (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\pi^{-1}(a_1+r_1+u_1))} \\ &= -\hat{\chi}_{\hat{g}}(0) = -2^m. \end{aligned}$$

By $t_1 = t_2 = 1$ and $t_3 = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{c_0+c_3+c_1+c_2} &= \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{c_0+c_3+c_1+c_2+t_1+t_2+t_3} \\ &= \sum_{a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m((a_2+r_2+v_2+u_2)\pi^{-1}(a_1+r_1+v_1+u_1))} \\ &\times (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(\pi^{-1}(a_1+r_1+v_1+u_1))} \\ &= \hat{\chi}_{\hat{g}}(0) = 2^m. \end{aligned}$$

Based on the discussions above, we can get

$$N_{2^{m+1}} = \frac{1}{16}(2^n + 2^{m+2}) = 2^{n-4} + 2^{m-2}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_{-2^{m+1}} &= \frac{1}{16} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4k}}} (1 - (-1)^{c_0})(1 + (-1)^{c_3})(1 + (-1)^{c_2}) \\ &\times (1 + (-1)^{c_1}) \\ &= 2^{n-4} - 2^{m-2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

It should be noted that two of $u, v, r \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$ can be equal. Without loss of generality, we assume that $r = v$, then the following result can be obtained.

Theorem 7: Let $n = 2m$ and $u = (u_1, u_2), v = (v_1, v_2)$ are two distinct nonzero elements in $\mathbb{F}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$. Assume that π is a linearized permutation polynomial of \mathbb{F}_{2^m} . Let $f(x, y)$ be the Boolean function given by

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) &= \text{Tr}_1^m(x\pi(y)) + \text{Tr}_1^m(y) \\ &+ \text{Tr}_1^m(u_1x + u_2y)\text{Tr}_1^m(v_1x + v_2y). \end{aligned}$$

If $\text{Tr}_1^m(u_2\pi^{-1}(v_1) + v_2\pi^{-1}(u_1)) = 0$, then f is bent. Otherwise, f is semi-bent.

Proof: The proof is similar to Theorem 2 and we omit it here. □

Remark 5: To obtain our constructions in Theorems 6 and 7, we need to determine the compositional inverse of a given linearized permutation polynomial over \mathbb{F}_{2^m} . Information on the compositional inverses of certain linearized permutation polynomials could be found in [36], [37], [38]. Clearly, the simplest suitable linearized permutation polynomial π over \mathbb{F}_{2^m} in Theorems 6 and 7 is x^{2^k} where $0 \leq k \leq n-1$.

Theorem 8: Let $n = 2m$ and s be a divisor of m with $\frac{m}{s}$ is odd. Assume that $u = (u_1, u_2), v = (v_1, v_2)$ are two distinct nonzero elements in $\mathbb{F}_{2^s} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^s}$ such that $u_1v_2 + v_1u_2 = 0$. Let $f(x, y)$ be the Boolean function given by

$$f(x, y) = \text{Tr}_1^m(xy^d) + \text{Tr}_1^m(u_1x + u_2y)\text{Tr}_1^m(v_1x + v_2y)$$

where $d(2^s + 1) \equiv 1 \pmod{2^m - 1}$. If $\text{Tr}_1^m(u_1^2v_2 + u_2v_1^2) = 0$, then $f(x, y)$ is bent. Otherwise, $f(x, y)$ is semi-bent.

Proof: Let $\pi(y) = y^d$ and $g(x, y) = \text{Tr}_1^m(x\pi(y))$. Since $d(2^s + 1) \equiv 1 \pmod{2^m - 1}$, then $\pi^{-1}(y) = y^{2^s+1}$. This together with (26) implies that for each $a = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$

$$\hat{\chi}_g(a_1, a_2) = 2^m(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2a_1^{2^s+1})}. \quad (35)$$

According to Lemma 1, for each $(a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\chi}_f(a_1, a_2) &= \frac{1}{2}[\hat{\chi}_g(a_1, a_2) + \hat{\chi}_g(a_1 + v_1, a_2 + v_2) \\ &+ \hat{\chi}_g(a_1 + u_1, a_2 + u_2) - \hat{\chi}_g(a_1 + v_1 + u_1, a_2 + v_2 + u_2)]. \end{aligned}$$

Now we compute $\hat{\chi}_g(a_1 + v_1, a_2 + v_2)$, $\hat{\chi}_g(a_1 + u_1, a_2 + u_2)$ and $\hat{\chi}_g(a_1 + v_1 + u_1, a_2 + v_2 + u_2)$ respectively. By (35), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\chi}_g(a_1 + v_1, a_2 + v_2) &= 2^m(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m((a_2+v_2)(a_1+v_1)^{2^s+1})} \\ &= 2^m(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2a_1^{2^s+1}) + \text{Tr}_1^m(a_2a_1^{2^s}v_1 + a_2a_1v_1^{2^s} + a_2v_1^{2^s+1})} \\ &\times (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_1^{2^s+1}v_2 + a_1^{2^s}v_1v_2 + a_1v_1^{2^s}v_2 + v_1^{2^s+1}v_2)} \\ &= \hat{\chi}_g(a_1, a_2)(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2a_1^{2^s}v_1 + a_2a_1v_1^{2^s} + a_2v_1^{2^s+1})} \\ &\times (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_1^{2^s+1}v_2 + a_1^{2^s}v_1v_2 + a_1v_1^{2^s}v_2 + v_1^{2^s+1}v_2)} \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

where the last identity holds since $v = (v_1, v_2)$ is a nonzero element in $\mathbb{F}_{2^s} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^s}$.

Similarly, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\chi}_g(a_1 + u_1, a_2 + u_2) &= \hat{\chi}_g(a_1, a_2)(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2a_1^{2^s}u_1 + a_2a_1u_1^{2^s} + a_2u_1^{2^s+1})} \\ &\times (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_1^{2^s+1}u_2 + a_1^{2^s}u_1u_2 + a_1u_1^{2^s}u_2 + u_1^{2^s+1}u_2)} \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\chi}_g(a_1 + v_1 + u_1, a_2 + v_2 + u_2) \\
&= \hat{\chi}_g(a_1, a_2)(-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2 a_1^{2^s} v_1 + a_2 a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_1^2)} \\
&\quad \times (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_1^{2^s+1} v_2 + a_1^{2^s} v_1 v_2 + a_1 v_1 v_2 + v_1^2 v_2)} \\
&\quad \times (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2 a_1^{2^s} u_1 + a_2 a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_1^2)} \\
&\quad \times (-1)^{a_1^{2^s+1} u_2 + a_1^{2^s} u_1 u_2 + a_1 u_1 u_2 + u_1^2 u_2} \\
&\quad \times (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m((a_1^{2^s} + a_1)(u_1 v_2 + v_1 u_2) + u_1^2 v_2 + v_1^2 u_2)}. \quad (38)
\end{aligned}$$

Let $c_1 = \text{Tr}_1^m(a_2 a_1^{2^s} v_1 + a_2 a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_1^2 + a_1^{2^s+1} v_2 + a_1^{2^s} v_1 v_2 + a_1 v_1 v_2 + v_1^2 v_2)$ and $c_2 = \text{Tr}_1^m(a_2 a_1^{2^s} u_1 + a_2 a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_1^2 + a_1^{2^s+1} u_2 + a_1^{2^s} u_1 u_2 + a_1 u_1 u_2 + u_1^2 u_2)$.

Note that $u_1 v_2 + v_1 u_2 = 0$. If $\text{Tr}_1^m(u_1^2 v_2 + u_2 v_1^2) = 0$, combining (36), (37) and (38), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\chi}_f(a_1, a_2) &= \frac{1}{2} 2^m (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2 a_1^{2^s+1})} [1 + (-1)^{c_1} \\
&\quad + (-1)^{c_2} - (-1)^{c_1+c_2}] \\
&= \begin{cases} -2^m (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2 a_1^{2^s+1})}, & \text{if } c_1 = c_2 = 1 \\ 2^m (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2 a_1^{2^s+1})}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

If $\text{Tr}_1^m(u_1^2 v_2 + u_2 v_1^2) = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\chi}_f(a_1, a_2) &= \frac{1}{2} 2^m (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2 a_1^{2^s+1})} [1 + (-1)^{c_1} \\
&\quad + (-1)^{c_2} + (-1)^{c_1+c_2}] \\
&= \begin{cases} 2^{m+1} (-1)^{\text{Tr}_1^m(a_2 a_1^{2^s+1})}, & \text{if } c_1 = c_2 = 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

The desired conclusion follows from the definitions of bent and semi-bent function. \square

Example 5: Let $m = 9$, $s = 3$ and \mathbb{F}_{2^9} be generated by the primitive polynomial $x^9 + x^4 + 1$ and ξ be a primitive element of \mathbb{F}_{2^9} .

1) Take $u = (u_1, u_2) = (\xi^{219}, \xi^{73})$ and $v = (v_1, v_2) = (\xi^{146}, 1)$. Clearly, $u_1 v_2 + u_2 v_1 = 0$ and $284 \times (2^3 + 1) \equiv 1 \pmod{512}$. By help of a computer, we can get $\text{Tr}_1^9(u_1^2 v_2 + u_2 v_1^2) = 0$ and the function $f(x) = \text{Tr}_1^9(xy^{284}) + \text{Tr}_1^9(\xi^{219}x + \xi^{73}y)\text{Tr}_1^9(\xi^{146}x + y)$ is a bent function over $\mathbb{F}_{2^9} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^9}$, which is consistent with the results given in Theorem 8;

2) Take $u = (u_1, u_2) = (\xi^{146}, \xi^{73})$ and $v = (v_1, v_2) = (\xi^{73}, 1)$. Clearly, $u_1 v_2 + u_2 v_1 = 0$ and $284 \times (2^3 + 1) \equiv 1 \pmod{512}$. By help of a computer, we can get $\text{Tr}_1^9(u_1^2 v_2 + u_2 v_1^2) = 1$ and the function $f(x) = \text{Tr}_1^9(xy^{284}) + \text{Tr}_1^9(\xi^{146}x + \xi^{73}y)\text{Tr}_1^9(\xi^{73}x + y)$ is semi-bent function over $\mathbb{F}_{2^9} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^9}$, which is consistent with the results given in Theorem 8.

VI. CONCLUSION

Several new classes of Boolean functions with few Walsh transform values, including bent, semi-bent and five-valued functions are provided, and the distribution of the Walsh spectrum of five-valued functions presented in this paper are also completely determined. As a generalization of the result [31], we obtained not only bent functions but also semi-bent and five-valued functions from a different approach. Furthermore, some cubic bent functions can be given by using our approach. It should be noted that some results presented

in this paper can be generalized to fields \mathbb{F}_{p^n} where p is an odd prime and another paper included the mentioned results has been submitted.

REFERENCES

- [1] O. S. Rothaus, "On bent functions," *J. Combin. Theory Ser A*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 300–305, 1976.
- [2] A. Canteaut, C. Carlet, P. Charpin, and C. Fontaine, "On cryptographic properties of the cosets of $r(1, m)$," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 47, no. 4, pp. 1494–1513, 2001.
- [3] F. J. MacWilliams and N. J. A. Sloane, *The theory of error correcting codes*, vol. 16. Elsevier, 1977.
- [4] C. Carlet, "Boolean functions for cryptography and error correcting codes," *Boolean Models and Methods in Mathematics, Computer Science, and Engineering*, vol. 2, p. 257, 2010.
- [5] J. Olsen, R. A. Scholtz, and L. Welch, "Bent-function sequences," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 858–864, 1982.
- [6] Y. Tan, A. Pott, and T. Feng, "Strongly regular graphs associated with ternary bent functions," *J. Combin. Theory Ser A*, vol. 117, no. 6, pp. 668–682, 2010.
- [7] Y. M. Chee, Y. Tan, and X. De Zhang, "Strongly regular graphs constructed from p -ary bent functions," *J. Algebr. Comb.*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 251–266, 2011.
- [8] P. V. Kumar, R. A. Scholtz, and L. R. Welch, "Generalized bent functions and their properties," *J. Combin. Theory Ser A*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 90–107, 1985.
- [9] A. Canteaut, P. Charpin, and G. M. Kyureghyan, "A new class of monomial bent functions," *Finite Fields Appl.*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 221–241, 2008.
- [10] C. Carlet, "Two new classes of bent functions," in *Advances in cryptography-EUROCRYPT93*, pp. 77–101, Springer, 1994.
- [11] C. Carlet and S. Mesnager, "On dillon's class \mathcal{H} of bent functions, niho bent functions and o-polynomials," *J. Combin. Theory Ser A*, vol. 118, no. 8, pp. 2392–2410, 2011.
- [12] P. Charpin, E. Pasalic, and C. Tavernier, "On bent and semi-bent quadratic boolean functions," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 51, no. 12, pp. 4286–4298, 2005.
- [13] J. F. Dillon, *Elementary Hadamard difference sets*. PhD thesis, University of Maryland, College Park., 1974.
- [14] T. Hellesest and A. Kholosha, "New binomial bent functions over the finite fields of odd characteristic," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 56, no. 9, pp. 4646–4652, 2010.
- [15] W. Jia, X. Zeng, T. Hellesest, and C. Li, "A class of binomial bent functions over the finite fields of odd characteristic," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 58, no. 9, pp. 6054–6063, 2012.
- [16] K. Khoo, G. Gong, and D. R. Stinson, "A new characterization of semi-bent and bent functions on finite fields," *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 279–295, 2006.
- [17] S. Li, L. Hu, and X. Zeng, "Constructions of p -ary quadratic bent functions," *Acta Appl. Math.*, vol. 100, no. 3, pp. 227–245, 2008.
- [18] N. Li, T. Hellesest, X. Tang, and A. Kholosha, "Several new classes of bent functions from dillon exponents," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 1818–1831, 2013.
- [19] S.-C. Liu and J. J. Komo, "Nonbinary kasami sequences over $\mathbf{GF}(p)$," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 1409–1412, 1992.
- [20] S. Mesnager, "A new class of bent and hyper-bent boolean functions in polynomial forms," *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, vol. 59, no. 1-3, pp. 265–279, 2011.
- [21] S. Mesnager and J.-P. Flori, "Hyperbent functions via dillon-like exponents," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 59, no. 5, pp. 3215–3232, 2013.
- [22] N. Y. Yu and G. Gong, "Constructions of quadratic bent functions in polynomial forms," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 52, no. 7, pp. 3291–3299, 2006.
- [23] Y. Zheng and X.-M. Zhang, "Plateaued functions," in *Information and Communication Security*, pp. 284–300, Springer, 1999.
- [24] S. Chee, S. Lee, and K. Kim, "Semi-bent functions," in *Advances in Cryptology/ASIACRYPT'94*, pp. 105–118, Springer, 1995.
- [25] C. Carlet and S. Mesnager, "On semibent boolean functions," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 58, no. 5, pp. 3287–3292, 2012.
- [26] H. Chen and X. Cao, "Some semi-bent functions with polynomial trace form," *J. Syst. Sci. Complex.*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 777–784, 2014.
- [27] S. Mesnager, "Semibent functions from dillon and niho exponents, kloosterman sums, and dickson polynomials," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 57, no. 11, pp. 7443–7458, 2011.

- [28] G. Sun and C. Wu, "Construction of semi-bent boolean functions in even number of variables," *Chinese Journal of Electronics*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 231–237, 2009.
- [29] J. Wolfmann, "Special bent and near-bent functions," *Advances in Mathematics of Communications*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 21–33, 2014.
- [30] C. Carlet, "On bent and highly nonlinear balanced/resilient functions and their algebraic immunities," in *Appl. Algebra Eng. Commun. Comput.*, pp. 1–28, Springer, 2006.
- [31] S. Mesnager, "Several new infinite families of bent functions and their duals," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 60, no. 7, pp. 4397–4407, 2014.
- [32] C. Carlet, L. E. Danielsen, M. G. Parker, and P. Solé, "Self-dual bent functions," *Int. J. Inform. Coding Theory*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 384–399, 2010.
- [33] X.-D. Hou, "Classification of self dual quadratic bent functions," *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 183–198, 2012.
- [34] G. Leander and A. Kholosha, "Bent functions with $2r$ niho exponents," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 52, no. 12, pp. 5529–5532, 2006.
- [35] L. Budaghyan, C. Carlet, T. Helleseth, A. Kholosha, and S. Mesnager, "Further results on niho bent functions," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 58, no. 11, pp. 6979–6985, 2012.
- [36] R. S. Coulter and M. Henderson, "The compositional inverse of a class of permutation polynomials over a finite field," *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, vol. 65, no. 03, pp. 521–526, 2002.
- [37] B. Wu, "The compositional inverse of a class of linearized permutation polynomials over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} , n odd," *Finite Fields Appl.*, vol. 29, pp. 34–48, 2014.
- [38] B. Wu and Z. Liu, "Linearized polynomials over finite fields revisited," *Finite Fields Appl.*, vol. 22, pp. 79–100, 2013.